

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: Object to A303 Stonehenge plans
Date: 26 September 2022 10:50:15

I object to this plan and it would seem that many also do. Why on earth is it being continued. Reiterating arguments and not addressing points raised far and wide seems a ridiculous situation for National Highways to be in.

- The Mission admitted that at the very least the western limit of the tunnel should be extended to the WHS boundary.
- National Highways has simply reiterated many of the arguments it has raised previously to justify a scheme which is clearly unacceptable to:
 - Government's independent specialist examiners,
 - UNESCO's World Heritage Committee, and
 - the former Transport Secretary himself who agreed with the examining panel that the scheme would be "significantly adverse" overall.
- The High Court judgment quashed the DCO in part because the Transport Secretary had not given proper consideration to alternatives.
- National Highways' response fails to alleviate any of the above concerns.
- I continue to object to the proposals and hope that the scheme will be abandoned.
- Should the Transport Secretary intend to proceed with the scheme, I trust that it will be subject of another formal public Examination so that all of the new information submitted by National Highways and others since 2020 may be fully and openly discussed, and taken into account and advised upon by the Government's independent Planning Inspectorate.

Please listen to the public. We dont want it and it would have a huge and significant impact if you do push ahead.

Thanks
Fiona
--
Fiona

